

**Minutes of the Joint Partnership Board (JPB) 5 December 2016
County Hall, Llandrindod Wells**

In attendance:

Cllr Stephen Hayes (SH), Chair

Cllr Graham Brown (GB), Mandy Collins (MC), Melanie Davies (MD), Roger Eagle (RE), , Owen James (OJ), Cllr Wynne Jones (WJ), Brian Makusha (BM), Dylan Owen (DO), Jeremy Patterson (JP), David Powell (DP), Anya Richards (AR), Julie Rowles (JR) Carol Shillabeer (CS), Cllr Barry Thomas (BT), Hayley Thomas (HT)

		Action
1.	Apologies	
	Apologies for absence were received from Vivienne Harpwood, Amanda Lewis and Clive Pinney.	
2.	Minutes of the last meeting	
	The minutes of the previous meeting held on 7 November 2016 were agreed as an accurate record. It was noted that the integration brochure would carry over to the next meeting.	
3.	Clerical Support for JPB	
	JP and CS agreed to back to a future meeting with options for clerical support for the Joint Partnership Board, Joint Leadership Team and scrutiny.	JP & CS
4.	Substitute Members	
	Melanie Davies was attending on behalf of Professor Harpwood and it was agreed to waive the JPB terms of reference for the meeting to give her voting rights. JPB agreed that it would be useful for the Council and Health Board to have one or two substitute members each who received papers and who could attend, with voting rights, in the event that another member could not. It was agreed that MC and CP would bring amended terms of reference to a future meeting.	MC & CP

5.	Allocation of Intermediate Care Fund	
	<p>DO gave a presentation on the allocation of Intermediate Care Funds to improve care co-ordination between social services, health, housing, education and the third and independent sector. The 4 aims of the Fund were to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drive and enable integrated working between social services, health, housing and the third and independent sectors • Support Older people to maintain their independence and remain at home • Avoid inappropriate admission to residential care • Avoid unnecessary hospital admissions and delayed discharges. <p>£2,046,000 had been allocated to schemes to support frail and older people, £169,000 to develop integrated services for people with learning disabilities and children with complex needs, £188,000 to develop an integrated autism service, focussing on a multi-disciplinary team to support autism in adults and enhancing existing children’s neurodevelopmental services and £500,000 to capital schemes.</p> <p>Late confirmation of funding meant that most of the spend was in quarters 3 and 4 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frail and Older People - £360,181 • Learning Disability and Complex Needs £1,508 • Autism £0 • Capital £50,344 <p>There had been no notification from Welsh Government of ICF funding in 2017/18 although it was understood that funds had been earmarked. This gave an opportunity to influence funding for integration and CS would raise in discussion with WG and report back to JPB</p>	CS
6.	Section 33 Agreements	
	MC would report back on the Section 33 agreements yet to be signed off.	MC
7.	Integrated Assisted Technology Scheme	
	JPB welcomed and approved the revised Integrated Assisted Technology Strategy which addressed issues raised by PTHB.	
8.	Publication of JPB Minutes	
	It was confirmed that JPB minutes were reported to the PCC	

	<p>Cabinet and the PTHB. It was agreed that in future JPB agendas would be published online and the minutes circulated to Scrutiny. It was further agreed to invite the scrutiny lead to the meeting in March to discuss scrutiny arrangements for the JPB.</p>	<p>Clerk</p>
<p>9.</p>	<p>Integration Options</p>	
	<p>JPB considered the options for integration and noted that the option to do nothing had been ruled out by the duty to integrate placed on local authorities and health boards the Social Services and Well Being Act.</p> <p>JPB agreed that improved outcomes for patients should be included in the section of the paper on why integrate.</p> <p>JPB assessed the 4 options.</p> <p>Steady state ie continue with current arrangements facilitated by Section 33 agreements.</p> <p>JPB felt that “Steady State” was not an altogether fair description as it could be transformational.</p> <p>Benefits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pragmatic approach • Develop at less risky pace • Could follow the example of others • Could include the Housing service • Less stress for staff • Less political challenge • Quicker <p>Risks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less exciting option • Lack of vision • May not deliver the transformation expected by Welsh Government • Unintended consequences of Section 33 agreements rolling on • May not lead to integration in other areas. <p>PCC Lead Agency</p> <p>Benefits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Political accountability • Increased service capacity • Shared accommodation • Opportunity to work with Housing and Education • Harmonisation of staff terms and conditions • Would be leading the way in Wales 	

Risks

- May undo job evaluation
- Health Board staff loyalty to working for the NHS

PTHB Lead Agency

Benefits

- Increased service capacity
- Shared accommodation
- Opportunity to work with Housing and Education
- Harmonisation of staff terms and conditions
- Would be leading the way in Wales
- Strategic fit with the Welsh Government Cabinet Secretary's portfolio

Risks

- Legal requirement to have a Director of Social Services and named social workers.
- Some services can't be delegated
- Co-terminus boundaries
- Charging for services
- Clinical leads - potential clash with medical and social care models

Arms-Length Body

Benefits

- No perception of one body leading over another
- Demonstration of co-operation
- Flexibility on terms and conditions

Risks

- Another level of bureaucracy
- Public perception that health was being put into a company
- Health staffs' strong affinity to working for the NHS
- Additional transaction costs
- Activities would be brought into the tax regime
- Flexibility on terms and conditions

JPB then considered and agreed the following criteria for judging the potential models:

- Safety
- Quality
- Sustainability
- Equity and Acceptability
- Deliverability
- Governance
- Strategic Fit

	<p>It was agreed that these criteria would be assessed and weighted at an independently facilitated workshop of staff and stakeholders to be held in January following the next meeting of the JPB. DP & HT to arrange.</p>	DP & HT
10.	Suggested Dates of Meetings in 2017	
	<p>The morning of 19 January agreed as date of next meeting.</p> <p>The other suggested dates of 16 March am, 22 June pm, 20 July pm, 14 Sept pm, 9 Nov pm, 14 Dec pm provisionally agreed but may need to be amended.</p>	